

Joint industry statement:

Priorities for the Machinery Products Regulation

The Machinery Directive is unquestionably the single most important piece of legislation for the European manufacturing industry. Since its original publication in 1989, and subsequent revisions up to 2006, it has effectively provided the highest level of machinery safety in the world, successfully supported the Internal Market as well as EU competitiveness, and continuously enabled the industry to innovate and thrive. In this context, it is important that the future legislative framework retains the balance between safety and innovation whilst offering the necessary consistency for manufacturers and users alike.

To this end the co-signatories:

1. Welcome the alignment with the New Legislative Framework (NLF) and coherence with other legislation

The industry fully supports the alignment with the New Legislative Framework (NLF) as it provides coherence with other Internal Market and product safety legislative acts and improves horizontal transparency. We also support the conversion of the Directive into a Regulation, which facilitates uniform application across all European Union Member States and therefore enhances the free movement of goods. Industry also appreciates the adaptation to modern technologies, by making it possible to provide machinery documentation in a digital format instead of paper.

We reiterate that these strategic objectives should also be applied to maintain coherence with the ongoing legislative proposals for the AI Act and the General Product Safety Regulation. European manufacturers in the machinery sector's complex supply chains depend on seamless EU conformity and market access regulation for their success.

2. Reject the introduction of mandatory third-party conformity assessment

The drastic change of approach to mandatory third-party conformity assessment for all the machinery listed in Annex I would only harm the European industry without bringing any clear benefit.

The proposed requirement is:

unjustified - There is **no evidence** that third-party certified machines are any safer than those undergoing internal production control,

disproportionate – The European Commission's cost estimation is largely undervalued as it does not cover the additional costs of resources, logistics, planning or the loss of lead time,

uncompetitive – the increased uncertainty, the longer time to market and additional costs will hamper innovation – disadvantaging SMEs while creating incentives for larger companies to move strategic sites to more supportive regions.

This approach would also prevent products produced in small series being made available on the market.

3. Warn against undermining the consensus-based standardisation approach

The fundamental principles of the NLF are essential for the good functioning of the European Standardisation System and vital to upholding and securing Europe's competitiveness. The creation of parallel approaches to standards-setting (i.e., technical specifications) by the Commission should be avoided wherever they are intended to replace standards. Such an alternative approach would be only acceptable, when used exceptionally and under strict and clear criteria, in reference to topics for which standardisation is not appropriate. Unclear implementation would seriously harm the importance of harmonised standards and undermine the principles of standardisation.

The rules for the development of technical specifications under the proposal are not clear and we fear that the current principles used in standardisation (e.g. a consensus-based text, market relevance, a balanced representation of stakeholders and transparency, ensured by a public enquiry) will not be respected. The introduction of a less consensus-based alternative system to harmonised standards risks undermining their global importance, creating misalignments with standards developed by international standardisation organisations¹ and weakening the underlying NLF principle² that requirements are defined in the legislation, while details are developed in standards.

4. Stress the importance of respecting the NLF principle of technology-neutral legislation

Essential requirements (Annex III) should not be over-prescriptive or unfeasible. European manufacturers depend on the one hand on the stability of the basic NLF principles of separation of the essential requirements laid down in product legislation, and on the other on the description of their technical realisation according to the state of the art in product-specific voluntary standards. Co-legislators must also avoid introducing additional vertical requirements that risk causing unpredictability for manufacturers. Moreover, roles should also be clearly allocated and balanced between the original manufacturer, other economic operators and market surveillance authorities.

5. Request a clear transition period

A transition period, where both legislative frameworks apply, is necessary to allow the market to adapt to the new regulation

Unrestricted "making available"³ of machinery products already manufactured under the current Machinery Directive is essential to minimise uncertainty for the supplier; the transition provisions in other pieces of legislation under the New Legislative Framework such as RED or LVD can be used as a reference.

¹ It is unclear whether the Vienna Agreement is applicable to technical specifications written in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1025/2012

²See CENELEC legal platform opinion https://www.sercobe.es/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/BT_N_12672_Technical-Specifications-as-alternative-to-hENs.pdf

³ Article 3 (11) of the proposal "making available on the market" means any supply of a machinery product for distribution or use on the EU market in the course of a commercial activity, whether in return for payment or free of charge.



The association representing the home appliance manufacturers in Europe
www.applia-europe.eu



Speaking for all-sized enterprises in 35 European countries
www.businesseurope.eu



European coordinating committee of manufacturers of electrical switchgear and controlgear
www.capiel.eu



The Committee for European Construction Equipment
www.cece.eu



The European employers' organisation representing the interests of the Metal, Engineering & Technology-based industries
www.ceemet.org



The European Agricultural Machinery Industry
www.cema-agri.org



The European Association of the Machine Tool Industries and related Manufacturing Technologies
www.cecimo.eu



The European Trade Association representing the medical imaging, radiotherapy, health ICT and electromedical industries
www.cocir.org



The voice of digitally transforming Industries
www.digitaleurope.org



European Furniture Industries Confederation

European Furniture Industries Confederation
www.efic.eu



The European Garden Machinery Federation
www.egmf.org



The voice of the European generating set industry
www.eurogen.eu



The Voice of the European Pump Industry -
www.europump.eu



The European Materials Handling Federation
www.fem-eur.com



The voice of machinery and equipment suppliers in Europe
www.eu-nited.net



Europe's Technology Industries
www.orgalim.eu



European Association of Manufacturers of Compressors, Vacuum Pumps
www.pneurop.eu